

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO	. F	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO		
09/314,926 0.		05/20/1999	MUNEHIKO OHTA	35.C13527	5815		
5514	7590	03/12/2003					
		LLA HARPER &	EXAMINER				
30 ROCKEFELLER PLAZA NEW YORK, NY 10112				WALLERSON, MARK E			
				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
				2622			
				DATE MAILED: 03/12/2003	DATE MAILED: 03/12/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Application No.

Applicant(s) 09/314,926

Examiner

Office Action Summary

Mark Wallerson

Art Unit

2622

Ohta



	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears	on the cover sh	eet with	the corres	spondence address	
	or Reply		_			
THE N	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.			_		
	ons of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In late of this communication.	no event, however, n	nay a reply b	oe timely filed	after SIX (6) MONTHS from the	
- If the p - If NO p - Failure - Any re	eriod for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the eriod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply a to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the ply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	nd will expire SIX (6) e application to beco	MONTHS from MONTHS	rom the mailir ONED (35 U.S	ng date of this communication. S.C. § 133).	
Status						
1) 🗆	Responsive to communication(s) filed on				<u> </u>	
2a) 🗌	This action is FINAL . 2b) 💢 This action	ion is non-final	•			
3) 🗆	Since this application is in condition for allowance e closed in accordance with the practice under $\it Ex~pai$	•				
Disposit	ion of Claims					
4) 💢	Claim(s) <u>1-50</u>			is/are	e pending in the application.	
4	a) Of the above, claim(s)			is/ar	e withdrawn from consideration.	
5) 🗆	Claim(s)	·			is/are allowed.	
6) 💢	Claim(s) 1, 2, 4-12, 14-23, 25-31, 33, 34, 36, 38,	6-50	is/are rejected.			
7) 💢	Claim(s) 3, 13, 24, 32, 35, 37, 40, 42, and 45		is/are objected to.			
8) 🗆	Claims	are	subject	to restric	ction and/or election requirement.	
Applica	tion Papers					
9) 🗆	The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are	a) 🗆 accepte	d or b)	objecte	ed to by the Examiner.	
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the d	rawing(s) be he	ld in abey	yance. Se	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
11)	The proposed drawing correction filed on	is:	: a) □ a	pproved	b) \square disapproved by the Examine	∍r.
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply t	o this Office ac	tion.			
12)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exami	ner.				
	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
_	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign pr	iority under 35	U.S.C.	§ 119(a)	-(d) or (f).	
•	All b)□ Some* c)□ None of:					
	1. X Certified copies of the priority documents have					
	2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have					
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority do application from the International Bures the attached detailed Office action for a list of the	au (PCT Rule 1	7.2(a)).		this National Stage	
	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic				(e).	
_	The translation of the foreign language provisiona	-			(6)	
	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic				O and/or 121.	
Attachm	•	-				
1) 💢 No	tice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Su	mmary (PTO	0-413) Paper	No(s)	
7.	tice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	5) Notice of Info	ormal Patent	t Application ((PTO-152)	
3) X Inf	ormation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s). 5, 6	6) Cther:				

Art Unit: 2622

Part III DETAILED ACTION

Notice to Applicant(s)

1. This application has been examined. Claims 1-50 are pending.

Priority

2. Receipt is acknowledged of papers submitted under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d), which papers have been placed of record in the file.

Information Disclosure Statement

3. The references listed in the Information Disclosure Statements dated 10/15/1999 and 2/7/2000 have been considered by the Examiner and is attached to this Office Action.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

4. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

- 5. Claims 1 and 3-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
- 6. Claim 1 recites the limitation "each output apparatus" in lines 8 and 9. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Art Unit: 2622

Claim Objections

7. Claim 2 is objected to because of the following informalities:

In line 10 of claim 2, "with kind information" should be changed to "with a kind of information". Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

8. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 9. Claims 1, 2, 5, 6, 9, 11, 12, 15, 16, 19, 21, 22, 23, 26, 27, 31, 36, 41, 46, 47, 48, 49 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Sasaki (U. S. 5,228,118).

With respect to claims 1, 11, 21, 22, 36, 41, and 49, Sasaki discloses an information processing apparatus (figure 1) comprising forming means (printing device) for forming predetermined image information (figure 1); a plurality of modules (printer drivers) for processing and forming predetermined image data in accordance with characteristics in each output apparatus (printing devices in figure 2), and means for switching (which reads on printer driver selecting means) the plural modules in accordance with a kind of output apparatus (column 3, lines 1-13).

Art Unit: 2622

and outputting the information processed by the module to the output apparatus (column 3, lines 1-33).

With respect to claims 2, 12, and 23 Sasaki discloses forming means (printing device) for forming predetermined image information (figure 1); a plurality of modules (printer drivers) for processing and forming predetermined image data in accordance with characteristics in each output apparatus (printing devices in figure 2), and means for switching (which reads on printer driver selecting means) the plural modules in accordance with a kind of output apparatus obtained from the image forming apparatus (column 3, lines 1-13), and outputting the information processed by the module to the output apparatus (column 3, lines 1-33).

With respect to claims 5, 6, 15, 16, 26, and 27 Sasaki discloses the selecting is executed when a driver is installed (column 3, lines 1-33), and the switching is executed when data is outputted (column 3, lines 1-33).

With regard to claims 9 and 19 Sasaki discloses the forming means is a print driver common to each apparatus (column 4, lines 7-22).

With respect to claim 31, Sasaki discloses memory means (44) for storing a printer driver programs for forming image data and performing processes according to characteristics of a printer (column 6, lines 46-65 and column 3, lines 1-33) and executing the printer driver program (column 6, lines 46-61).

With respect to claims 46, 47, and 48, the data is transmitted to the printer (column 3, lines 27-33)

Art Unit: 2622

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 10. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 11. Claims 4, 7, 8, 10, 14, 17, 18, 20, 25, 28, 29, 32, 34, 38, 39, 43, 44, and 50 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sasaki in view of Yano et al (Yano) (U. S. 5,907,666).

With respect to claims 4, 10, 14, 20, 25, 30 Sasaki differs from claims 4, 10, 14, 20, 25, 30, and 50 in that he does not clearly disclose the module offset transmits the information in accordance with a head of the output apparatus. Yano discloses transmitting offset data to an ink jet printer in accordance with a head of the output device (column 4, lines 28-55), the offset being performed by a printer driver (column 12, lines 42-56). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have modified Sasaki wherein the module offset-transmits the information in accordance with a head of the output apparatus. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have modified Sasaki by the teaching of Yano in order to improve the efficiency of the printer.

With regard to claims 7, 8, 17, 18, 28, and 29, Sasaki differs from claims 7, 8, 17, 18, 28, and 29 in that he does not clearly disclose the information is quantized and binarized. Yano discloses a printer driver for binary coding and rasterizing print data (column 33, lines 37-47).

Art Unit: 2622

Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have modified Sasaki wherein the information is quantized and binarized. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have modified Sasaki by the teaching of Yano in order to improve the efficiency of the printer.

With respect to claims 32, 38, 43 Sasaki differs from claims 32, 38, and 43 in that he does not clearly disclose the module offset transmits the information in accordance with a head of the output apparatus, in which the head has recording elements with plural colors. Yano discloses transmitting offset data to an ink jet printer in accordance with a head of the output device (column 4, lines 28-55), the offset being performed by a printer driver (column 12, lines 42-56), wherein he head has recording elements with plural colors (column 4, lines 42-55). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have modified Sasaki wherein the module offset-transmits the information in accordance with a head of the output apparatus. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have modified Sasaki by the teaching of Yano in order to improve the efficiency of the printer.

With regard to claims 34, 39, and 44, Sasaki differs from claims 34, 39, and 44 in that he does not clearly disclose controlling the offset transmission when data for a longitudinal paper is printed. Yano discloses controlling the offset transmission when data for a longitudinal paper is printed (column 33, lines 37-47). Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in

Art Unit: 2622

the art at the time of the invention to control the offset transmission when data for a longitudinal

paper is printed. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the

invention to have modified Sasaki by the teaching of Yano in order to improve the efficiency of

the printer.

Allowable Subject Matter

12. Claims 3, 13, 24, 32, 35, 37, 40, 42, and 45 are objected to as being dependent upon a

rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the

limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

13. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner

should be directed to Mark Wallerson whose telephone number is (703) 305-8581.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be

directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-4700.

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Washington, DC 20231

or faxed to:

(703) 872-9314 (for formal communications intended for entry)

Art Unit: 2622

(for informal or draft communications, such as proposed amendments to be discussed at an interview; please label such communications "PROPOSED" or "DRAFT")

or hand-carried to:

Crystal Park Two

2121 Crystal Drive

Arlington. VA.

Sixth Floor (Receptionist)

MARK WALLERSON